



BD of Appeal

Application No: **09/677,493**

Appeal No: **2009-1164**

Filing Date: 10/02/2000

Application Title: "Integrated Database Data Editing System"

Applicant: George Guang Yang (Previous used name: Guang Yang)

Examiner: **Baoquoc N. To**, Art Unit 2162

392 Hans Way
San Jose, CA 95133
Tel: (408) 729-1282
E-mail: guangyang14@hotmail.com

July 28, 2010,

Mr. Baoquoc N. To
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Reply to Your "Response to Rule 312 Communication"

Dear Mr. To,

This letter is to reply to your "Response to Rule 312 Communication" mailed to me on July 20, 2010. My letter and the amendment filed in your office on June 28, 2010 have complied with 37 CFR 1.312. I made some minor amendment to the specification as you quoted, but I did not add any new matter. The amendment is just to make my statement more clear, precise and specific but not broaden any element. My Claims 1-7 should be allowed by your office as soon as possible. I have paid the Issue Fee \$755 today in another separate mail to the "Mail Stop ISSUE FEE" in your office.

I sent you a letter to reply to your "Response to Rule 312 Communication" mailed to me on June 22, 2010. I sent you a letter and the clean version and marked version of the amended specification of my patent application with original Claims 1-7 and an electric floppy disk with the clean version files on June 24, 2010. I have made some minor amendments to the specification including claims and figures by adding or deleting some detailed and specific descriptions of my invention, but I have not introduced any new matter. I insist that all Claims 1-7 are patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and cannot be rejected under U.S.C. § 103(a). I believe that the Claims 1-7 structure and dependent

relationship is the best way to show the value of this invention. I require your office to approve my amended specification as soon as possible.

I sent you a letter on May 21, 2010 to reply your "Notice of Allowability" mailed to me on May 17, 2010. I am glad to learn that "All claims being allowable" as you stated. I sent the "Statement of the Substance of the Interview" to you on May 17, 2010 to response to your "Interview Summary" mailed to me on May 13, 2010. I sent my "Reply to 'Office Communication' and Request to Allow Claims 1-7" to your office on April 27, 2010 to reply your "Office Communication" mailed to me on April 15, 2010. I mailed the "Request for Reopen Prosecution before Examiner on the Decision of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences" under 37 CFR § 41.77(b)(1) to your office on February 7, 2010 and on September 27, 2009, which state enough factual merits and legal bases to oppose the Board's decision to sustain your rejection of Claims 1 & 3.

My patent application is very significant in both technology and economy fields. As the fact shows that delaying my patent approval has also deterred our "Hi-Tech" industry in the past ten years. It has consumed ten years of my precious productive life now and ruined my career and my personal life. I require your office to approve my amended specification and Claims 1-7 as soon as possible. Once my patent is granted, I will apply for the venture capital funds to implement it as commercial software products to contribute to our society.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "George G. Yang". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

George Guang Yang, Ph.D.

Independent Inventor